

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,616	10/14/2003	Joyce Fernandez	1835	
759	90 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
Joseph B. Taphorn			LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW	
8 Scenic Drive Hagan Farms			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/683,616	FERNANDEZ, JOYCE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Justin M. Larson	3727	

	Justin M. Larson	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>3/22/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA <sup>.</sup>	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW.	ANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS	but wing to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	,	12 501011/,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	· ·	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.		
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. ☐ Other:					
ppma-					
			101105		

NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations of 1.) "the channel being formed by folding the length of the fabric and sewing in a line across the width of the fabric some inches from the fold" and 2.) "the pocket beyond the knot is made up of the fabric itself by simply folding over an end of it and sewing the sides" were not previously presented.